This chapter presents an integrated overview of how psychosocial factors relate to gastrointestinal function, disease susceptibility, clinical illness, and outcomes, and it provides an integrated approach to the care of the patient with gastrointestinal illness.

**CONCEPTUALIZATION OF GASTROINTESTINAL ILLNESS**

**BIOMEDICAL MODEL**

In the practice of medicine, feeling confused, even stuck, when discrepancies exist between what we observe and what we expect is not uncommon. This experience may occur when diagnosing and caring for a patient who has symptoms that do not match our understanding of the degree of disease. In Western civilization, the traditional understanding of illness (the personal experience of ill health or bodily dysfunction, as determined by current or previous disease as well as psychosocial, family, and cultural influences) and disease (abnormalities in structure and function of organs and tissues) has been termed the biomedical model. This model adheres to two premises. The first is that any illness can be linearly reduced to a single cause (reductionism). Therefore, identifying and modifying the underlying cause is necessary and sufficient to explain the illness and ultimately lead to cure. The second is that an illness can be dichotomized to a disease, or organic disorder, which has objectively defined pathophysiology, or a functional disorder, which has no specifically identifiable pathophysiology (dualism). This dichotomy presumes to distinguish medical (organic) from psychological (functional) illness or relegates functional illness to a condition with no cause or treatment.

The limitations of this model are now becoming evident; modern research has shown a blurring of this dichotomy, as illustrated by the following case history.

**CASE 1**

Ms. L, a 42-year-old woman, presents to her new physician with a 20-year history of mid to lower abdominal pain with nausea and occasional vomiting. She states, “I can’t live anymore with this pain.” Her bowel function is normal, and her weight is stable. She is unable to work, believes that the symptoms have taken over her life, and perceives no sense of control over her symptoms or any ability to decrease them. She has a long-standing history of major losses, and depression, and a history of sexual and physical abuse. She requests narcotics for pain relief because “that is the only treatment that works.” She also requests that the physician expeditiously “find the cause of the pain and remove it.” The record shows frequent emergency room visits and several hospital admissions, during which extensive diagnostic studies (including upper gastrointestinal [GI] series with small bowel follow-through, upper endoscopy, colonoscopy, capsule study, computed tomography [CT] of the abdomen and pelvis, pelvic ultrasound, and laparoscopy) were negative, and increasing doses of narcotics were given for pain relief. A prior cholecystectomy did not document gallstones, and a hysterectomy was done years earlier for endometriosis. On this occasion, an upper endoscopy shows only a positive rapid urease test for Helicobacter pylori, but a two-week
This case of a patient with a severe functional GI disorder can be challenging when approached from the biomedical model. In addition to difficulties in diagnosis and management, strong feelings may occur that are mal-adaptive to the physician-patient relationship, for several reasons. First, the physician and patient approach the problem using a functional-organic dichotomy. With no evidence of a structural (organic) diagnosis to explain the symptoms for over 20 years, the patient still urges that further diagnostic studies be done to “find and fix” the problem, and the physician orders an upper endoscopy. However, failure to find a specific structural cause for medical symptoms is the rule rather than the exception in ambulatory care. In a study involving 1000 ambulatory internal medicine patients, only 16% of 567 new complaints (and only 11% for abdominal pain) over a three-year period were eventually found to have an organic cause, and only an additional 10% were given a psychiatric diagnosis. This patient has functional abdominal pain syndrome, one of 27 adult functional GI disorders that comprise over 40% of a gastroenterologist’s practice (see Chapter 11). Mutual acceptance of this entity as a real diagnosis is the key to beginning a proper plan of care. Because functional GI disorders do not fit into a biomedical construct (i.e., they are seen as an illness without evident disease), the risk that unneeded and costly diagnostic tests will be ordered to find the cause continues, as illustrated by the physician’s ordering another upper endoscopy. This approach may deflect attention away from the direction of proper management.

Second, psychosocial features are evident, including major loss, depression, abuse history, and maladaptive thinking (i.e., catastrophizing and perceived inability to manage the symptoms), in addition to the possible development of narcotic bowel syndrome (see Chapter 11), which adversely influence the clinical outcome and are amenable to proper treatment. These features are ignored or minimized, however, reflecting that patients and physicians tend to view psychosocial factors as separate from, and often less important than, medical illness. In reality, the psychosocial features are so relevant to the illness presentation that by addressing them the patient may improve. Ultimately, the physician, possibly recognizing that these issues are important, may feel unable to manage the problems and refers Ms. L to a psychiatrist. In turn, the psychiatrist also approaches the problem dualistically, noting the depression but also indicating uncertainty and even concern as to whether a medical diagnosis has been overlooked. These competing viewpoints may only confuse the patient. Third, difficulties exist in the physician-patient interaction. The patient’s and physician’s goals and expectations for care are at odds. Whereas the patient wants a quick fix, the physician sees the condition as chronic and ultimately requiring psychiatric intervention, not narcotics, which could do harm. In response, the patient requests referral to another facility. This maladaptive interaction and poor communication relating to differing understandings of the illness and its treatment could have been avoided by addressing these differing views and mutually negotiating a plan of diagnosis and care.

This “vicious cycle” of ineffective care results from the limitations imposed by the biomedical model. The vicious cycle occurs not only among patients with functional GI disorders, but also among patients with organic disorders such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). In such cases, pain and diarrhea are not explained by the degree of disease activity, and the patient likely has irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) as well (so-called IBD-IBS; see Chapters 111, 112, and 118). The reality is that (1) medical disorders and patient symptoms are inadequately explained by structural abnormalities; (2) psychosocial factors predispose to the onset and perpetuation of illness and disease, are part of the illness experience, and strongly influence the clinical outcome regardless of diagnosis; and (3) successful application of this understanding and proper management require an effective physician-patient relationship.

**BIOPSYCHOSOCIAL MODEL**

The biopsychosocial model proposes that illness and disease result not from a single cause, but from simultaneously interacting systems at the cellular, tissue, organism, and patient level. This model recognizes the importance of both biological and psychological factors in the development and management of illness. It emphasizes the need for a collaborative approach to care, where patients and providers work together to identify and address the full spectrum of factors contributing to the illness experience. By fostering open communication and a shared decision-making process, the biopsychosocial model helps to prevent the cycle of ineffective care and promotes more effective and patient-centered care. Figure 21-1 shows a patient-physician vicious cycle, which highlights the importance of addressing the patient’s expectations, pain, disability, and lack of control, as well as the physician’s focus on the organic cause and biased attitudes. By breaking this cycle, both patients and providers can work towards improved health outcomes.
interpersonal, and environmental levels. Furthermore, psychosocial factors have direct physiologic and pathologic consequences, and vice versa. For example, change at the subcellular level (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus infection or susceptibility to IBD) has the potential to affect organ function, the person, the family, and society. Similarly, a change at the interpersonal level, such as the death of a spouse, can affect psychological status, cellular immunity, and, ultimately, disease susceptibility. The model also explains why the clinical expression of biological substrates (e.g., alterations in oncogenes) and associated responses to treatment vary among patients. The biopsychosocial model is consistent with emerging scientific data about the mechanisms of disease and clinical care and is assumed to be valid in this discussion.

Figure 21-2 provides the framework for understanding the mutually interacting relationship of psychosocial and biological factors in the clinical expression of illness and disease. Early life factors (e.g., genetic predisposition, early learning, cultural milieu) can influence an individual’s later psychosocial environment, physiologic functioning, and disease (pathologic) expression, as well as reciprocal interactions via the brain-gut (central nervous system [CNS]–enteric nervous system [ENS]) axis. The product of this brain-gut interaction will affect symptom experience and behavior, and ultimately the clinical outcome. Figure 21-2 will serve as a template for the outline and discussion that follows.

**EARLY LIFE**

### EARLY LEARNING

Early learning in regard to gastrointestinal illness involves developmental aspects and physiologic conditioning.

#### Developmental Aspects

At or perhaps even before birth, a person’s genetic composition and interactions with the environment begin to affect later behaviors and susceptibility to illness. For example, the earliest interactions involve feeding and elimination. According to psychoanalytic theory, situations of conflict arise early in which the child’s innate impulses (e.g., to eat or defecate) confront external environmental (i.e., parental) constraints; normal personality development involves successful resolution of these conflicts. The complex behaviors of feeding and elimination—sources of intense gratification to the infant—must gradually be controlled by the growing child according to the prevailing mores of family and society. To varying degrees during development, these adopted constraints remain in conflict with desires for immediate gratification. With increased motor control of these functions, the child can defy or comply with environmental constraints by choosing to eat, resist eating, bite, defecate, or withhold stool. Whether and how these behaviors are displayed will depend on the child’s needs and the quality and intensity of the environmental influences that affect them. Behaviors learned during this period are considered pivotal in the child’s personality, development, and later interaction with the environment, including the development of autonomy, of distinguishing right from wrong, and of disciplining impulses in a socially acceptable manner. Conversely, failure to resolve these early conflicts may make the adult vulnerable in situations that tax these character traits. Thus, the obstinate (as in “obstipation”) person who withholds or resists when feeling controlled may make the adult vulnerable in situations that tax these character traits. Thus, the obstinate (as in “obstipation”) person who withholds or resists when feeling controlled may have been influenced by unresolved interactions around the control of elimination.

Certain GI disorders may be influenced by learning difficulties or emotionally conflicting or challenging interactions that occur early in life. These disorders include rumination syndrome (see Chapter 14), anorexia nervosa (see Chapter 8), functional (psychogenic) vomiting (see Chapter 14), and constipation (see Chapter 18), all of which can develop based on early conditioning experiences. Disorders of anorectal function (e.g., pelvic floor dyssynergia and encopresis) also may have resulted from learning difficulties relating to bowel habit or abuse (see Chapters 17 and 18). Encopretic children may withhold stool out of fear of the toilet, to struggle for control, or to receive attention from parents. One study has proposed that encopretic children are more likely than controls when feeling controlled may have been influenced by unresolved interactions around the control of elimination.

Well-designed studies have supported the role of early modeling of symptom experience and behavior in the clinical expression of GI symptoms and disorders. In particular, childhood sexual and physical abuse can have physical consequences, thereby affecting the development or severity of functional GI disorders, and early family attention toward GI symptoms and other illnesses can influence later symptom reporting, health behaviors, and health care costs.

#### Physiologic Conditioning

Early conditioning experiences may also influence physiologic functioning and possibly the development of psycho-
physiologic disorders. Psychophysiologic reactions involve psychologically induced alterations in the function of target organs without structural change. They often are viewed as physiologic concomitants of an affect such as anger or fear, although the person is not always aware of the affect. The persistence of an altered physiologic state or the enhanced physiologic response to psychologic stimuli is considered by some as a psychophysiologic disorder. Visceral functions such as the secretion of digestive juices and motility of the gallbladder, stomach, and intestine can be classically conditioned, even by family interaction. Classic conditioning, as described by Pavlov, involves linking a neutral food or unconditioned stimulus (sound of a bell) with a conditioned stimulus (food). The parent keeps the child home because of a “tummy-ache” and allows him to stay in bed and watch television. Several days later, when the child is encouraged to go back to school, the symptoms recur.

In this case, the parent focused on the abdominal discomfort as an illness that required absence from school rather than as a physiologic response to a distressing situation. The child then avoided the feared situation. Repetition of the feared situation not only may lead to a conditionally enhanced psychophysiologic symptom response, but also may alter the child’s perception of the symptoms as illness, thereby leading to health care-seeking behaviors later in life (illness modeling). For example, in two studies, patients with IBS recalled more parental attention toward their illnesses than those with IBS who did not seek health care; they stayed home from school and saw physicians more often and received more gifts and privileges. Somatic responses to stressful situations may be reduced when the parent openly solicits and responds to the thoughts and feelings of the child, thus making these thoughts and feelings acceptable.

CASE 2
A young child, Johnny, wakes up on the day of a school examination with anxiety and "flight-fight" symptoms of tachycardia, diaphoresis, abdominal cramps, and diarrhea. The parent keeps the child home because of a "tummy-ache" and allows him to stay in bed and watch television. Several days later, when the child is encouraged to go back to school, the symptoms recur.

In this case, the parent focused on the abdominal discomfort as an illness that required absence from school rather than as a physiologic response to a distressing situation. The child then avoided the feared situation. Repetition of the feared situation not only may lead to a conditionally enhanced psychophysiologic symptom response, but also may alter the child’s perception of the symptoms as illness, thereby leading to health care-seeking behaviors later in life (illness modeling). For example, in two studies, patients with IBS recalled more parental attention toward their illnesses than those with IBS who did not seek health care; they stayed home from school and saw physicians more often and received more gifts and privileges. Somatic responses to stressful situations may be reduced when the parent openly solicits and responds to the thoughts and feelings of the child, thus making these thoughts and feelings acceptable.

CULTURE AND FAMILY
Social and cultural belief systems modify how a patient experiences illness and interacts with the health care system. The meaning that an individual attributes to symptoms may be interpreted differently, even within the same ethnic group. Qualitative ethnographic studies conducted in New York City in the mid–twentieth century found that the “Old Americans” (Protestants) were stoic. These behaviors related to family attitudes and mores surrounding illness either reinforce or extinguish attention-drawing symptom reporting. As a further elaboration, whereas Italians were satisfied to hear that the pain was not a serious problem, the Jewish patients needed to understand the meaning of the pain and its future consequences, with the latter possibly relating to cultural influences on the importance of the acquisition of knowledge within the culture. Geographic differences are also noted with regard to worries and concerns about having IBD—for example, Southern European patients, such as Italian and Portuguese patients, report more and greater degrees of concern than their northern European counterparts.

These cultural influences can shape health seeking and the respective roles of physicians and patients. From a global standpoint, 70% to 90% of all self-recognized illnesses are managed outside traditional medical facilities, often with self-help groups or religious cult practitioners providing a substantial portion of the care. Rural cultural groups, including Mexicans living on the American border, more often will go to a community healer (e.g., a curandero) first despite access to a standard medical facility. When given the option, the Romani (gypsies) will select only the top physicians (gonzos) to take care of a family member. Among whites, one third see practitioners of unconventional treatments (e.g., homeopathy, high colonic enemas, crystal healing) at a frequency that exceeds the number of primary care visits, and most do not inform their physicians of these treatments. Conversely, physicians may judge the appropriateness of patient behaviors on the basis of their own cultural biases and make efforts to show them the right way without first understanding the patient’s illness schema. Considering the hot-cold theory of illness practiced by some Puerto Ricans, if a clinician prescribes a hot medicine (not related to temperature) for a hot illness, the patient might not take that medicine. From a diagnostic standpoint, health care providers in the rural south need to be familiar with root working, a form of voodoo magic practiced by some rural African Americans. Acknowledging and addressing the patient’s beliefs can be therapeutic for the patient.

Psychosocial factors that relate to illness can be culturally determined and affect clinical management. In China, communicating psychological distress is stigmatizing, so when a person is in distress, reporting physical symptoms (somaticization) is more acceptable, whereas in Southern Europe, emotional expression is not only assumed but also is a reinforcer of family support. In some nonliterate societies, individuals freely describe hallucinations that are fully accepted by others in the community. In fact, the meaning of the hallucinations, not their presence, is the focus of interest, particularly when reported by those in a position of power. Conversely, in Western societies, in which the emphasis is on rationality and control, hallucinations are viewed as stigmatizing, a manifestation of psychosis until proved otherwise.

These factors and influences indicate the importance of inquiring about the patient’s understanding of the onset, beliefs about cause, clinical course, and desired or expected treatment of an illness. They affect adherence to treatment, at least, and possibly the response to treatment.

PSYCHOSOCIAL ENVIRONMENT
As a child moves into adulthood, genetics, culture, early learning, and other environmental influences are integrated into the individual’s unique personality and behavioral...
LIFE STRESS AND ABUSE
Unresolved life stress, such as the loss of a parent, an abortion, or daily life stresses (including having a chronic illness), may influence an individual’s illness in several ways, including the following: (1) producing psychophysiologic effects (e.g., changes in motility, blood flow, body fluid secretion, or bodily sensations), thereby exacerbating symptoms; (2) increasing one’s vigilance toward symptoms; and (3) leading to maladaptive coping and greater illness behaviors and health care seeking. Despite numerous methodologic limitations in studying the relationship of such psychosocial factors to illness, disease, and their outcomes, such factors clearly can exacerbate functional GI disorders and symptoms of certain structural disorders, such as IBD. Although the scientific evidence that such factors are causative in the development of pathologic conditions is compelling, based on retrospective studies and psychoneuroimmunologic mechanisms, this conclusion is not fully established. Nevertheless, the negative impact of stressful life events on a person’s psychological state and illness behaviors requires the physician to address them in the daily care of all patients.

A history of physical or sexual abuse strongly influences the severity of the symptoms and clinical outcome. When compared with patients without a history of abuse, patients with a history of abuse who are seen in a referral gastroenterology practice reported 70% more severe pain ($P < 0.0001$) and 40% greater psychological distress ($P < 0.0001$), spent over 2.5 times more days in bed in the previous three months (11.9 vs. 4.5 days, $P < 0.0007$), had almost twice as poor daily function ($P < 0.0001$), saw physicians more often (8.7 vs. 6.7 visits over six months, $P < 0.03$), and even underwent more surgical procedures (4.9 vs. 3.8 procedures, $P < 0.04$) unrelated to the GI diagnosis. Life stress and abuse history have physiologic and behavioral effects that amplify the severity of the condition.

Several possible mechanisms help explain the relationship between a history of abuse and poor outcome. These mechanisms include the following: (1) susceptibility to developing psychologic conditions that increase the perception of visceral signals or its noxiousness (central hypervigilance and somatization); (2) development of psychophysiologic (e.g., autonomic, humoral, immunologic) responses that alter intestinal motor or sensory function or promote inflammation; (3) development of peripheral or central sensitization from increased motility or physical trauma (visceral hyperalgesia or allodynia); (4) an abnormal appraisal of and behavioral response to physical sensations of perceived threat (response bias); and (5) development of maladaptive coping styles that lead to increased illness behavior and health care seeking (e.g., catastrophizing). Physiologically, in patients with IBS and a history of abuse, rectal distention produces more pain reporting with greater activation of the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex compared with patients with IBS and no history of abuse; the pain and activation of the brain subsides after treatment (see later).

PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS
As shown in Figure 21-2, along with life stress and abuse, a mix of concurrent psychosocial factors can influence GI physiology and susceptibility to developing a pathologic condition and its symptomatic and behavioral expression, all of which affect the outcome. The psychological factors relate to long-standing (also called trait) features (e.g., personality and psychiatric diagnosis) and more modifiable state features (e.g., psychological distress and mood). The latter features are amenable to psychological and psychopharmacologic interventions. In addition, coping style and social support provide modulating (buffering) effects.

Personality
Are there specific personalities associated with GI disorders? During the psychoanalytically dominated era of psychosomatic medicine (1920 to 1955), certain psychological conflicts were believed to underlie development of personalities that expressed specific psychosomatic diseases (e.g., asthma, ulcerative colitis, essential hypertension, duodenal ulcer). In the biologically predisposed host, disease would develop when environmental stress was sufficient to activate the psychological conflict. The idea that personality features specifically relate to causation of medical disease (albeit in a biologically predisposed host), however, is too simplistic. Currently, investigators view personality and other psychological traits as enablers or modulators of illness, along with other contributing factors such as life stress, social environment, and coping.

Psychiatric Diagnosis
The co-occurrence of a psychiatric diagnosis in patients with a medical disorder (comorbidity) is common, and the psychiatric diagnosis aggravates the clinical presentation and outcome of the medical disorder. The most common psychiatric diagnoses seen among patients with chronic GI disorders are depression (including dysthymia) and anxiety (including panic attacks), and these psychiatric disorders are often amenable to psychopharmacotherapeutic or psychological treatment.

When psychiatric disorders and personality traits adversely affect an individual’s experience and behavior to the point of interfering with interactions involving family, social peers, and physicians, these disorders and traits must be attended to. These conditions can include the following: somatization disorder, characterized by a fixed pattern of experiencing and reporting numerous physical complaints beginning early in life; factitious disorder, or possibly Munchausen’s syndrome, in which a patient surreptitiously simulates illness (e.g., ingesting laxatives, causing GI bleeding, feigning symptoms of medical illness) to obtain certain effects (e.g., to receive narcotics or operations and procedures); and borderline personality disorder, in which the individual demonstrates unstable and intense (e.g., overly dependent) interpersonal relationships, experiences marked shifts in mood, and exhibits impulsive (e.g., suicidal, self-mutilating, sexual) behaviors. It is important for the physician to recognize these patterns to avoid maladaptive interactions, to maintain clear boundaries of medical care (e.g., not to overdo studies based on the patient’s requests) and, when necessary, to refer the patient to a mental health professional skilled in the care of patients with these conditions.

Psychological Distress
Even for a previously healthy person, having an illness can cause psychological distress, which is understood as transient and modifiable symptoms of anxiety, depression, and other mood disturbances. Psychological distress also affects the medical disorder and its outcome; it lowers the pain threshold and influences health care seeking for patients with a functional bowel disturbance and those with a structural disease. Psychosocial difficulties may not be recog-
nized by the patient, even if evident to the health care provider. When patients with IBS who seek health care are compared with those who do not see a physician, the former group report greater psychological difficulties but also may deny the role of these difficulties in their illnesses. This pattern may develop early in life. The young child, Johnny, described earlier, who was becoming conditioned to report somatic symptoms when distressed, may not recognize or communicate the association of symptoms with the stressful antecedents, because these antecedents were not acknowledged or attended to within the family. The ability to become consciously aware of one’s own feelings is believed to be a cognitive skill that goes through a developmental process similar to that which Piaget described for other cognitive functions. This development, however, may be suppressed in oppressive family environments.

Alexithymia (from the Greek, “absence of words for emotions”) describes patients who have chronic difficulties recognizing and verbalizing emotions. Alexithymia is believed to develop in response to early traumatic experiences such as abuse, severe childhood illness, or deprivation. Patients with alexithymia may express strong emotions, such as anger or sadness, in relation to their illnesses, but they know little about the psychological basis for these feelings and cannot link them with past experience or current illness. This lack of understanding limits the ability to modulate emotions, use coping strategies effectively, and adjust to their chronic condition. Their tendency to communicate emotional distress through somatic symptoms and illness behavior, rather than verbally, appears to be associated with more frequent physician visits and a poorer prognosis. Difficulties in awareness of one’s own emotional levels has been reported in the practice of gastroenterology in patients with functional as well as structural GI disorders.

COPING AND SOCIAL SUPPORT

Coping and social support modulate (by buffering [turning down] or enabling [turning up and amplifying]) the effects of life stress, abuse, and morbidity psychological factors on the illness and its outcome. Coping has been defined as “efforts, both action-oriented and intrapsychic, to manage (i.e., master, tolerate, minimize) environmental and internal demands and conflicts that tax or exceed a person’s resources.” In general, emotion-based coping (e.g., denial or distraction), although possibly adaptive for acute overwhelming stresses, is not effective for chronic stressors, whereas problem-based coping strategies (e.g., seeking social support or reappraising the stressor) involves efforts to change one’s response to the stressor and is more effective for chronic illness. Patients with Crohn’s disease who do not engage in emotion-based coping, such as social diversion or distraction, are less likely to relapse. For GI diagnoses of all types, we have found that a maladaptive emotional coping style, specifically catastrophizing, along with the perceived inability to decrease symptoms, led to higher pain scores, more physician visits, and poorer functioning over the subsequent one-year period. Catastrophizing is also associated with more difficult interpersonal relationships, predicts postoperative pain, and contributes to greater worry and suffering in patients with IBS. Therefore, efforts made through psychological treatments to improve a person’s appraisal of the stress of illness and ability to manage symptoms is likely to improve health status and outcome.

Social support through family, religious, and community organizations and other social networks can have similar benefits in reducing the impact of stressors on physical and mental illness, thereby improving ability to cope with the illness. Using IBD as an example, patients who have satisfactory social support are able to reduce the psychological distress related to their conditions, and good social support improves health-related quality of life after surgery.

BRAIN-GUT AXIS

The combined functioning of GI motor, GI sensory, and CNS activity is considered the brain-gut axis, and dysregulation of this system’s homeostasis explains altered GI functioning, GI symptoms, and functional GI disorders to a great extent. The brain-gut axis is a bidirectional and integrated system in which thoughts, feelings, memories, and environmental influences can lead to neurotransmitter release that affects sensory, motor, endocrine, autonomic, immune, and inflammatory function. Conversely, altered functioning or disease of the GI tract can reciprocally affect mental functioning. In effect, the brain-gut axis is the neuroanatomic and neurophysiologic substrate of the clinical application of the biopsychosocial model.

This section will address known and potential mechanisms for communication between brain (i.e., the psychosocial environment) and gut (physiology and pathology) through the central and enteric nervous systems (CNS-ENS, or brain-gut axis) and its consequences on peripheral systems (e.g., motility, sensation, inflammation, symptoms, and clinical outcome).

STRESS AND GASTROINTESTINAL FUNCTION

An observational relationship between stress and GI function has been part of the writings of poets and philosophers for centuries. Stress is difficult to understand and study; no definition is entirely satisfactory. The human organism functions in a constantly changing environment. Any influence on one’s steady state that requires adjustment or adaptation can be considered stress. The term is nonspecific and encompasses the stimulus and its effects. The stimulus can be a biologic event such as infection, a social event such as a change of residence, or even a disturbing thought. Stress can be desirable or undesirable. Some stimuli, such as pain, sex, or threat of injury, often elicit a predictable response in animals and humans. By contrast, life events and many other psychological processes have more varied effects. For example, a change of jobs may be of little concern to one person but a crisis to another, who perceives it as a personal failure. A stimulus may produce a variety of responses in different persons or in the same person at different times. The effect may be nonobservable, a psychological response (anxiety, depression), a physiologic change (diarrhea, diaphoresis), the onset of disease (asthma, colitis), or any combination of these. A person’s interpretation of events as stressful or not and his or her response to stress depend on prior experience, attitudes, coping mechanisms, personality, culture, and biological factors, including susceptibility to disease. The nonspecific nature of the term stress precludes its use as a distinct variable for research.

Healthy subjects commonly have abdominal discomfort or change in bowel function when they are upset or distressed, a fact usually taken into account by clinicians who manage patients. Clinical reports and psychophysiologic studies in animals and humans support these observations. Cannon noted a cessation in bowel activity in cats when reacting to a growling dog. Pavlov first reported that psychic factors affect gastric acid secretion via the vagus nerve in dogs. In humans, Beaumont, Wolf and Wolff, and Engel and colleagues observed changes in the color of
the mucosa and secretory activity of a gastric pouch or fistula in response to psychological and physical stimuli. Gastric hyperemia and increased motility and secretion were linked to feelings of anger, intense pleasure, or aggressive behavior toward others. Conversely, mucosal pallor and decreased secretion and motor activity accompanied fear or depression, states of withdrawal (i.e., giving up behavior), or disengagement from others.

Subsequently, studies were reported that showed the effects of experimental stress on physiologic functioning in almost all segments of the GI tract. Complicated cognitive tasks produce the following: (1) high-amplitude, high-velocity esophageal contractions; (2) increased chymotrypsin output from the pancreas; (3) reduction of phase II intestinal motor activity; and (4) prolongation of phase III activity of the migrating myoelectric complex (MMC) in the small intestine (see Chapters 42, 56, and 97). Experimentally induced anger increases motor and spike potential activity in the colon, and this change is greater in patients with functional bowel disorders (see Chapter 98). In one study, psychological stress was more likely than physical stress to produce propagated contractions in the colon, which presumably would be associated with the development of diarrhea. Physical or psychological stress also can lower the pain threshold, particularly in patients with IBS.

**ROLE OF NEUROTRANSMITTERS**

The richly innervated nerve plexuses and neuroendocrine associations of the CNS and ENS provide the hard wiring for reciprocal activity between brain and gut. The mediation of these activities involves neurotransmitters and neuropeptides found in the CNS and intestine, including corticotropin-releasing factor (CRF), vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP), 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) and its congener, substance P, nitric oxide (NO), cholecystokinin (CCK), and enkephalin. Depending on their location, these substances have integrated activities on GI function and human behavior. For example, the stress hormone CRF has central stress modulatory and peripheral gut physiologic effects. It produces gastric stasis and an increase in the colonic transit rate in response to psychologically aversive stimuli and can increase visceral hypersensitivity and alter immune functioning. Thus, CRF appears to be active in stress-induced exacerbations of IBS and in cyclic vomiting syndrome (see Chapters 14 and 118).

**REGULATION OF VISCERAL PAIN**

An important example of brain-gut axis function relates to the regulation of visceral pain.

**Transmission to the Central Nervous System**

Figure 21-3 shows ascending afferent pathways from the colon. After visceral stimulation by colonic dilatation, first-order visceral neurons are stimulated and then project to the spinal cord, where they synapse with second-order neurons and ascend to the thalamus and midbrain (see Chapter 11). Of the several supraspinal pathways (spinothalamic, spinoreticular, and spinomesencephalic), the spinothalamic tract shown on the right in Figure 21-3 terminates in the medial thalamus and projects as a third-order neuron to the primary somatosensory cortex. This pathway is important for sensory discrimination and localization of visceral and somatic stimuli (i.e., determining the location and intensity of pain). The spinoreticular tract (middle pathway) conducts sensory information from the spinal cord to the brainstem (reticular formation). Notably, this region is involved mainly in the affective and motivational properties of visceral stimulation—that is, the emotional component of pain. The reticulothalamic tract projects from the reticular formation to the medial thalamus on the left and then to the cingulate cortex. This is shown in Figure 21-3 as divided into subcomponents, including the perigenual anterior cingulate cortex (pACC). MCC, and insula, which are involved with the processing of noxious visceral and somatic information. This multicomponent integration of nociceptive information, dispersed to the somatotopic-intensity area (lateral sensory cortex) and to the emotional or motivational-affective area of the medial cortex, explains variability in the experience and reporting of pain.

This conceptual scheme of pain modulation through sensory and motivational-affective components has been supported through positron emission tomography (PET) imaging using radiolabeled oxygen. In healthy subjects who immersed their hands in hot (47°C) water, hypnotic suggestion could make the experience painful or pleasant. Notably, no differences were observed between the two groups in somatosensory cortical activation, but in hypnotized subjects who experienced the hand immersion as painful, the activation of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) was higher. Thus, the hypnotic suggestion differentiated the functioning of these two pain systems. The suggestion of unpleasantness is specifically encoded in the anterior midcingulate portion of the ACC, an area involved with negative perceptions of fear and unpleasantness; this is the area associated with functional pain syndromes (see later).

**Amplification of Visceral Afferent Signals**

The evidence is growing that visceral inflammation and injury can amplify ascending visceral pathways. An increase in the sensitivity of peripheral receptors or the excitability of spinal or higher CNS pain regulatory systems may produce hyperalgesia (increased pain response to a noxious signal), allodynia (increased pain response to non-noxious

---

**Figure 21-3.** Visceral pain transmission to the central nervous system. This figure shows the ascending visceral pathways from the intestine to somatosensory and limbic structures in the brain via spinal and midbrain pathways. See text for details. MCC, midcingulate cortex; pACC, perigenual anterior cingulate cortex. (From Drossman DA. Functional abdominal pain syndrome. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004; 2:353-65.)
or regulatory signals), or chronic pain. Chronic pain results from prior episodes of recurrent pain events that become generalized to a persistent symptom presentation. The CNS response (hyperalgesia) to peripheral injury may be reduced by a preemptive reduction in the afferent input to the spinal cord and CNS (e.g., by analgesia or a local anesthetic).

Visceral hypersensitivity is well demonstrated in immature (i.e., neonate) animals, in which inflammation or injury to nerve fibers can alter the function and structure of peripheral neurons and thereby result in a greater pain response to visceral distention when the animals mature and become adults. In humans, repetitive balloon inflations can later lead to an enhanced pain response to rectal distention (visceral hypersensitivity), as shown by the observation that patients with IBS have a greater degree of and more prolonged pain after a colonoscopy.

Perhaps the best clinical model for the effects of inflammation on visceral hypersensitivity is the postinfection IBS model (PI-IBS). PI-IBS results from an inflammation-induced altered mucosal immune response that sensitizes visceral afferent nerves in a setting of emotional distress. The CNS amplification of the visceral signals that occur in psychologically distressed persons probably raises the afferent signals to conscious awareness and an enhanced perception of symptoms. In multivariate analysis, both endotoxin hyperplasia (with increased production of IL-1) and depression are equally important predictors of the development of PI-IBS (risk ratio, 3.8 and 3.2, respectively). These data support the contention that for PI-IBS to become clinically expressed, evidence of brain-gut dysfunction must exist, with visceral sensitization and high levels of psychological distress. Some of the effect may result from stress-mediated activation of mast cells, which in turn leads to neural sensitization.

**Descending Modulation**

Figure 21-4 shows the central descending inhibitory system that is believed to originate in the pACC, an area rich in opioids. Activation of this region from visceral afferent activity may down-regulate afferent signals via descending corticofugal inhibitory pathways. Descending connections from the ACC and the amygdala to pontomedullary networks, including the periaqueductal gray (PAG), rostral ventral medulla (RVM), and raphe nuclei, activate inhibitory pathways via opioidergic, serotonergic, and noradrenergic systems to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord. The dorsal horn acts like a gate to increase or decrease the projection of afferent impulses arising from peripheral nociceptive sites to the CNS (see Chapter 11). Psychological treatments and antidepressants are thought to activate these descending pathways.

**Psychological Distress and Its Influence on Central Amplification**

Psychological disturbances may amplify the pain experience (i.e., CNS sensitization). Whereas peripheral sensitization may influence the onset and short-term continuation of pain, the CNS appears involved in the predisposition and perpetuation of pain, thereby leading to a more severe chronic pain condition. Empirical data have supported the hypothesis that a comorbid psychiatric diagnosis, major life stress, history of sexual or physical abuse, poor social support, and maladaptive coping are associated with more severe and more chronic abdominal pain and a poorer health outcome. Figure 21-5 demonstrates this association for functional and structural GI disorders. For most patients with mild-to-moderate symptoms, environmental and bowel-related factors (e.g., intestinal infection, inflammation, or injury, diet, hormonal factors) can lead to afferent excitation and up-regulation of afferent neuronal activity. Patients with moderate to severe symptoms also have impaired central modulation of pain as a result of various psychosocial factors, with decreased central inhibitory effects on afferent signals at the level of the spinal cord (disinhibition). Knowing the severity of the disorder and the purported site of action (i.e., intestine, brain, or both) can help when choosing an approach to treatment. When peripheral influences on severity predominate, medications, surgery, or other modalities that act on the intestine are primary treatment considerations. As pain symptoms become more severe, however, behavioral and psychopharmacologic treatments need to be added.

**Cingulate Mediation of Psychosocial Distress and Pain**

The relationship between psychosocial distress and painful GI symptoms appears to be mediated through impairment in the ability of the cingulate cortex to process incoming visceral signals. The ACC, which is involved in the motivational and affective components of the limbic, or medial, pain system, is dysfunctional in patients with IBS and other chronic painful conditions like fibromyalgia and may be similarly involved with structural diagnoses, such as IBD-IBS. The pACC, an area rich in opioids associated with emotional encoding and down-regulation of pain, and the dorsal ACC (also called the rostral or anterior MCC), may be activated to varying degrees in response to painful stimuli. The dorsal ACC, along with the amygdala, is associated with unpleasantness, fear, and an increase in responses to motor pain. When PET and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) are used to evaluate the response of the ACC to rectal distention or to the anticipation of distension, patients with IBS display preferential activation of the
MCC and less activation of the pACC than controls. In IBS, activation of the descending inhibitory pain pathway that originates in the opioid-rich pACC might be supplanted by activation of the MCC, the area associated with fear and unpleasantness. Similar findings occur in patients with somatization and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

A history of abuse in a patient with IBS leads to greater dorsal ACC activation and reporting of pain with rectal distension than either condition alone. This finding supports observational studies that patients with GI disorders of any type who have a history of abuse report more pain and have poorer health behaviors than those with only the GI diagnosis. With clinical recovery, CNS activity appears to return to normal (i.e., reduced MCC and increased insular activation).

These observations have been supported by a study of the Gulf War and related health issues. The report portrays a strong relationship between the deployment of soldiers to a war zone with traumatic exposure to injury, mutilation, or dead bodies and the ensuing development of medical and psychological symptoms and syndromes. In fact, clusters of several medical symptoms were noted, such as those termed Gulf War syndrome, which includes IBS, chronic fatigue, and chemical sensitivity syndrome, in addition to PTSD and cognitive impairments.

Therefore, the psychological effects of abuse or wartime exposure may produce disruption in central pain modulation systems and in brain circuits at the interface of emotion and pain. This change leads to a lowering of sensation thresholds, with a loss of the brain’s ability to filter bodily sensations. The result is an increase in physical and psychological symptoms and more intense pain and syndromes (e.g., IBS, fibromyalgia, headache, widespread body pain), a condition that has been variably described as somatization, comorbidity, or just extraintestinal functional GI symptoms. The data suggest that psychological and antidepressant treatments are potentially beneficial for more severe forms of chronic pain, in which CNS contributions are thought to be preeminent.

**EFFECTS OF STRESS ON IMMUNE FUNCTION AND DISEASE SUSCEPTIBILITY**

Stressful experiences can also affect peripheral immune function and ultimately susceptibility to disease; conversely, peripheral immune and inflammatory mediators can affect psychological functioning. The discipline of psychoneuroimmunology began in the 1970s, when alterations of immune function were first documented in astronauts after splashdown. Later, in vitro experiments showed effects of acute stress on lymphoproliferative activity, interferon production, and DNA repair. Clinical studies have shown that chronically distressed persons (e.g., long-term caregivers of spouses with dementia) have impaired cellular immunity and higher frequencies of depression and respiratory infections compared with matched controls, and persons under psychological distress have a higher frequency of respiratory infections after intranasal virus inoculation. In patients with multiple myeloma, stress management treatment has been associated with an increased number of natural killer (NK) cells, a significantly lower mortality rate after six years, and a trend toward fewer tumor recurrences as compared with a control group of patients who did not undergo stress management treatment.

The principal mediators of the stress-immune response include CRF and the locus-coeruleus-norepinephrine (LC-NE) systems in the CNS. These systems are influenced by numerous positive and negative feedback systems that allow behavioral and peripheral adaptations to stress. The peripheral limb of the CRF system is the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, a negative feedback system involved in psychoneuroimmunologic regulation. In the HPA system, inflammatory cytokines, primarily tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin (IL)-1, and IL-6, liberated during inflammation, stimulate the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus to secrete CRF. CRF stimulates the pituitary gland to release adrenocorticotropic hormone (ACTH; corticotropin), which, in turn, stimulates the adrenal glands to release glucocorticoids. Finally, the glucocorticoids suppress inflammation and cytokine...
production, thereby completing the negative feedback loop.

CRF is recognized to be important via central and peripheral pathways in stress-related modulation of GI motor and sensory function and may be involved in the generation or maintenance of pain-related symptoms sensitive to modulation by psychological stress. Furthermore, disruptions in the HPA system can lead to behavioral and systemic disorders as a result of increased (e.g., Cushing’s syndrome, depression, susceptibility to infection) or decreased (e.g., adrenal insufficiency, rheumatoid arthritis, chronic fatigue syndrome, PTSD) HPA axis reactivity. Inflammatory GI disorders (e.g., IBD) also may be affected through this stress-mediated system.

The clinical evidence to support a role for stress in activation of human IBD, or other structural disorders, is limited because of methodologic limitations of available studies. The evidence from clinical observational data, however, is compelling. For example, in one study of 62 patients with ulcerative colitis who were followed prospectively for more than five years, experienced an exacerbation, and the psychosocial predictors of disease exacerbation were assessed. Patients who scored high on a measure of long-term perceived stress had an increased actuarial risk of an exacerbation of ulcerative colitis (hazard ratio = 2.8; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.1 to 7.2) and exacerbation was not associated with short-term perceived stress or with the extent, duration, or severity of the colitis.

CYTOKINES AND THE BRAIN

Stress might have proinflammatory effects, but intestinal inflammation also may affect behavior reciprocally via activation of cytokines. Many behavioral features (e.g., fever, fatigue, anorexia, depression) of chronic inflammatory diseases may result from the central effects of peripherally activated inflammatory cytokines. Sickness behavior refers to the coordinated set of behavioral changes that develop in sick persons during the course of IBD, cancer, infection, or other catabolic disorders associated with cytokine activation. At the molecular level, these changes result from the effects of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1 and TNF-α, in the brain. Peripherally released cytokines act on the brain via a fast transmission pathway, which involves primary afferent nerves that innervate the site of inflammation, and a slow transmission pathway, which involves cytokines that originate from the choroid plexus and circumventricular organs and diffuse into the brain parenchyma. At the behavioral level, sickness behavior appears to be the expression of a central motivational state that reorganizes the organism’s priorities to cope with infectious pathogens.

SYMPTOM EXPERIENCE AND BEHAVIOR

The product of the interacting effects of brain and gut relate to the clinical expression of illness and disease—namely, the symptom experience and subsequent illness-related behaviors. The meaning of illness, the perceived effect of alterations in body image (e.g., a colostomy), social acceptability, the degree of functional impairment and its implications at work and at home, and the likelihood of surgery or untimely death must all be dealt with by the patient. How well the patient adapts, in addition to the quality of the physician’s involvement, is crucial to the patient’s psychological well-being and clinical course. Some chronically ill patients regress and become dependent. Their continued symptoms, restricted activity, and health care tax family, friends, and physician, all of whom may feel helpless to provide enough emotional or medical assistance. Conversely, other patients resist help to avoid acknowledging their imposed dependence. The family must then deal with feelings of guilt and anger, the expressions of which, although unavoidable, are not usually socially permitted. Often, the physician carries the burden of the feelings of the patient and family and must reconcile the two. In most cases, the problems are worked out, and the patient establishes a pattern of coping. However, if the patient has limited capacity to cope psychologically with the illness, the disorder is particularly incapacitating, or the interpersonal family relationships are unstable, additional efforts by the physician and ancillary personnel (e.g., psychological counselors, social workers, peer support groups) will be required.

OUTCOME

The severity or activity of GI disease is not sufficient to explain a patient’s health status and its consequences fully. Early life conditioning and current psychological difficulties also influence a variety of outcome measures, including symptoms, health care seeking, quality of life—a global measure of the patient’s perceptions, illness experience, and functional status that incorporates social, cultural, psychological, and disease-related factors—and health care costs, in some cases more than disease-related factors. When the effects of early life conditioning on health care visits and costs were assessed, the children of parents diagnosed with IBS had significantly more ambulatory care visits for all medical (12.3 vs. 9.8; P < 0.0001) and GI symptoms (0.35 vs. 0.16; P < 0.0001), and outpatient health care costs over three years were also higher ($1979 vs. $1546; P < 0.0001) than those for a comparison group of children whose parents did not have IBS. Presumably, the parents with IBS were vigilant, and responded more often, to the symptoms (GI or non-GI) of their children and more readily sought health care for them.

In adults, psychosocial factors affect symptom reporting and health care seeking. In one survey of 997 subjects with IBD who belonged to the Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation of America, the number of physician visits was related to psychosocial factors (e.g., psychological distress, perceived well-being, and physical functioning), whereas the severity of symptoms was not found to predict this outcome. Other important psychosocial predictors of poorer health outcome (e.g., symptom severity, phone calls, doctor visits, daily function, and health-related quality of life) for functional or structural disorders include a history of sexual or physical abuse, maladaptive illness beliefs, ineffective coping strategies (e.g., catastrophizing), and perceived inability to decrease symptoms.

CLINICAL APPLICATIONS

The data relating to the scientific basis for an association between psychosocial factors and GI illness and disease require that the physician obtain, organize, and integrate psychosocial information to achieve optimal care. The recommendations offered here are particularly useful for patients who have chronic illness or major psychosocial difficulties. More comprehensive discussions of techniques
for obtaining and analyzing the data and of the interview process are found elsewhere. 47,123,124

HISTORY
The physician’s dialogue with the patient is the most important asset for enhancing the physician-patient relationship, developing a diagnosis, and formulating treatment and is often underused. Consider the information obtained in this office interview.

Physician: “How can I help you?” (looking at chart)
Patient: “I developed a flare-up of my Crohn’s … the pain, nausea, and vomiting, when I came back from vacation.” (pause, looks pensive)
Physician: “Was the pain like what you had before?”
(interrupting)
Patient: “Yes, well almost, I think.”
Physician: “What do you think is causing this problem?”
Patient: “I was about to start my new position as floor supervisor and thought I’d take a vacation to get prepared, and then all this happened.”
Physician: “Oh, I see.” (pause)
Patient: (continues) “I started getting that cramping feeling right here (points to lower abdomen) and then it got worse after eating. So I knew I’d be obstructed again if I didn’t get in to see you.”
Physician: “Hmm. Any other symptoms?”
Patient: “Well, I felt warm, but didn’t take my temperature.”

Physician: “What was your bowel pattern like?”
Patient: “They started getting loose when I was on vacation. Now they’re slowing down. I haven’t gone today.”

The number of verbal exchanges is the same, yet the patient offers more information. The clinical features are clearer and additional knowledge of an association of symptoms with beginning a new job situation is obtained. This interview method also encourages patient self-awareness and allows consideration of possible behavioral treatments (e.g., stress reduction techniques, job change, and counseling) that may ameliorate future flare-ups of the patient’s symptoms.

The historical information should be obtained from the perspective of the patient’s understanding of the illness. Important questions to ask include the following: 126

- “What do you think is causing this problem?”
- “Why did it happen now?”
- “What type of treatment do you think you should receive?”
- “What do you fear most about your illness?”

EVALUATING THE DATA
The physician must assess the relative influences of the biological, psychological, and social dimensions on the illness. Determining whether psychosocial or biological processes are operative in an illness is unnecessary and possibly countertherapeutic. Usually, both are important, and treatment is based on determining which is identifiable and remediable. A negative medical evaluation is not sufficient for making a psychosocial diagnosis. Table 21-1 lists several questions to consider in the assessment and evaluation of the patient. 47

DIAGNOSTIC DECISION MAKING
Deciding which tests to order will depend on their clinical usefulness. A number of questions should be considered:

Is a test safe and cost-effective?
Will the results make a difference in treatment?

Patients who are persistent in their requests for further studies, or who challenge the physician’s competence, may tempt the physician to schedule unneeded studies or surgery out of uncertainty or out of feeling that he or she needs to do something. This temptation can be avoided by basing decisions on the objective evaluation of data (e.g., blood in the stool, fever, abnormal serum chemistry values) rather than solely on the patient’s illness behavior.

The case of Ms. L., the patient with persistent and unexplained abdominal pain, is an example familiar to the gastroenterologist. The urge to work up a patient with chronic abdominal pain must be tempered by the evidence that

Table 21-1 Questions to Consider in the Clinical Evaluation of the Patient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the patient have acute or chronic illness?</td>
<td>problème</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
an adequate initial evaluation considerably reduces the likelihood of finding an overlooked cause later. Here, the clinical approach is not medical diagnosis, but psychosocial assessment and treatment of the chronic pain. Factors associated with or exacerbating chronic pain symptoms include the following: (1) a recent disruption in the family or social environment (e.g., child leaving home, argument); (2) major loss or anniversaries of losses (e.g., death of a family member or friend, hysterectomy, interference with the outcome of pregnancy); (3) history of sexual or physical abuse; (4) onset or worsening of depression or other psychiatric diagnosis; and (5) a hidden agenda (e.g., narcotic-seeking behavior, laxative abuse, pending litigation, disability). Although psychiatric consultation and treatment may be needed, it is important that the physician should continue to be involved in the patient's care and be vigilant about the development of new findings.

At times, decisions must be made with incomplete or nonspecific information. Particularly for chronic symptoms, when studies are unrevealing and the patient is clinically stable, it is wise to tolerate the uncertainty in diagnosis and observe the patient for new developments over a period of time. Experienced physicians usually make diagnostic and treatment decisions based on the degree of change in the condition over weeks or months, rather than on one or two occasions.

Psychiatric consultation should be considered when additional psychological data could clarify the illness or improve patient care. Examples include the following: (1) identification of a psychiatric diagnosis for which specific treatment (e.g., psychopharmacologic agents) may be beneficial; (2) serious impairment of the patient’s level of psychosocial functioning (e.g., inability to work); or (3) consideration of invasive diagnostic or therapeutic strategies on the basis of the patient’s complaints, without clear indications from the medical data.

**TREATMENT APPROACH**

**ESTABLISHING A THERAPEUTIC RELATIONSHIP**

The physician establishes a therapeutic relationship when he or she does the following: (1) elicits and validates the patient’s beliefs, concerns, and expectations; (2) offers empathy when needed; (3) clarifies the patient's misunderstandings; (4) provides education; and (5) negotiates the plan of treatment with the patient. This strategy must be individualized, because patients vary in the degree of negotiation and participation they require. The physician must be nonjudgmental, show interest in the patient's well-being, and be prepared to exercise effective communication skills.

**Eliciting, Evaluating, and Communicating the Role of Psychosocial Factors**

A sensitive and nonjudgmental interview facilitates a patient's disclosure of psychosocial information. At times, the patient may be unwilling or unable to discuss this information, particularly on the first visit or in the context of seeing the gastroenterologist for a medical problem. The fear of disapproval and lack of trust often prevent the patient from sharing intimate thoughts and feelings, an obstacle that can be overcome by a good physician-patient relationship. When the patient is unwilling or unable to accept the role of psychosocial factors in illness, the physician can still obtain such information indirectly, by inference, and should not attempt to provide the patient with insight. If the patient is asked whether the problem is just “in my head,” the physician explains that illness is rarely either mental or physical; that understanding all factors, including the patient's feelings, is important; and that many chronic conditions are associated with depression or unrealistic fears. Consistent with the biopsychosocial model of illness, discussing psychosocial and biological factors in terms of causation (e.g., by stating “It is common for stress to cause your problems”) or exclusion (e.g., by stating “The workup is negative; it must be stress”) is not helpful.

**Providing Reassurance**

A patient's fears and concerns require reassurance. If the reassurance is premature, inadequate, or inappropriate, it will be perceived as insincere or as a lack of thoroughness by the physician. The physician should respond to the patient's needs and requests empathically but not go along when doing so would not be in the patient's best interest. For example, disability may be a disincentive to helping the patient reestablish wellness and return to gainful employment. If the patient does not qualify for disability, the physician should be clear about it.

**Recognizing the Patient's Adaptations to Chronic Illness**

Illness is associated with certain benefits for the patient, such as increased attention and support, release from usual responsibilities, and possibly social and financial compensation. For some patients, more may be lost by giving up the state of illness than gained by wellness, and improvement may be slow. The patient can be helped by improving his or her psychosocial adjustment to the illness (e.g., improving coping strategies).

**Reinforcing Healthy Behaviors**

Sometimes, complaints of physical distress are a maladaptive effort to communicate emotional distress or to receive attention. The physician may unwittingly reinforce this behavior in several ways: (1) by paying a great deal of attention to the patient’s complaints, to the exclusion of other aspects; (2) by acting on each complaint by ordering diagnostic studies or giving a prescriptive medication; or (3) by assuming total responsibility for the patient’s well-being. The patient learns to keep the physician's interest by reporting symptoms rather than by trying to improve, perpetuating the cycle of symptom recitation and passive interaction.

To encourage a patient to take more responsibility for his or her care and have a heightened sense of control, the physician offers a choice among several treatments or helps design an exercise program. The physician should limit discussion about symptoms (often termed the *organ recital*) to what is needed to satisfy medical concerns and focus instead on adaptations to the illness rather than the cure. I often find it best not to ask about the patient's symptom (e.g., “How is your pain?”), because the question puts my attention on the fact that the patient is having symptoms. Rather, I ask about the symptoms in the context of the patient's health-promoting behaviors (e.g., “What are you doing to manage your pain?”).

**PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGIC TREATMENT**

Psychopharmacologic or psychotropic agents act on neurotransmitter receptors in the brain-gut regulatory pathways that target serotonergic, dopaminergic, opioidergic, and noradrenergic receptor sites and produce various effects in the following ways: (1) by reducing visceral afferent signaling from painful GI conditions; (2) by treating GI pain by...
facilitating central down-regulating pathways; (3) depending on the agent, by modifying diarrhea or constipation; (4) by reducing anxiety, depression, nausea, and loss of appetite; and (5) in higher doses, by treating major depression or other psychiatric disorders.126

The tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) in relatively low doses can reduce chronic pain129,130 through peripheral and central mechanisms, including activation of corticofugal pain inhibitory pathways by endorphins. They can also treat major and secondary depressive symptoms when used in full antidepressant doses. They may have anti-histaminic and anticholinergic effects that could lead to nonadherence.

Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are not as established as TCAs as antinociceptive agents. They are often used, however, to reduce anxiety and are particularly helpful for psychiatric comorbid conditions, including major depression, panic disorder, and other high-anxiety conditions (e.g., obsessive-compulsive disorder, PTSD, social phobia).

The serotonin-norepinephrine receptor inhibitors (SNRIs) are a more recently introduced class of antidepressants that appear to be particularly helpful for the treatment of painful conditions. The presence of any syndromes associated with deterioration in daily function (e.g., inability to work) or of vegetative symptoms (e.g., poor appetite, weight loss, sleep disturbance, decreased energy and libido) subserved by central brain monoamine function, are reasonable indications for a therapeutic trial. They should be considered even if the patient denies feelings of sadness (masked depression). Treatment should be increased to full therapeutic doses over two to three weeks and maintained for six to nine months. Poor clinical responses may be the result of relatively low doses.131

Anxiolytic agents, particularly the benzodiazepines, are frequently used to ameliorate anxiety disorders or used for a short term for acute anxiety, particularly if the anxiety is associated with stress-induced flare-ups of bowel disturbance. Their potential benefit should be balanced with the long-term risks of sedation, drug interactions, habituation, and rebound after withdrawal.

Antipsychotic drugs, or neuroleptics, include the phenothiazines (e.g., chlorpromazine), butyrophenones (e.g., haloperidol), and newer classes of safer antipsychotic agents (e.g., quetiapine, olanzapine) and are used primarily for treating disturbances of thought, perception, and behavior in psychotic patients. Increasingly, they are being used in lower doses to promote sleep, achieve anxiolytic and antidepressant effects, and augment the analgesic effects of anti-depressants; they may have a role in pain management.132

Opiates have little role in treating patients with chronic pain or psychosocial disturbance because of their potential for abuse, dependency, and narcotic bowel syndrome (see Chapters 11 and 120).133

Cognitive-behavioral treatment can be effective for patients with functional GI symptoms, chronic pain, depression, and bulimia. It involves the patient in identifying stressors and thoughts that increase mental distress and learning new ways of coping by restructuring these thoughts. Interpersonal or dynamic psychotherapy is recommended for patients motivated to address and adjust interpersonal difficulties associated with exacerbations of symptoms. For the patient who would benefit from peer support or who has interpersonal difficulties or limited finances, group therapy can be considered. Crisis intervention is designed to help the individual over a particularly difficult period in a few sessions. It can help the patient with chronic illness and an identifiable cause of recent deterioration in function. Family or marital counseling is indicated when difficulties in family interactions interfere with health-promoting activities. Finally, other behavioral treatments (e.g., relaxation training, generalized biofeedback, meditation, and hypnosis) are safe, noninvasive, and cost-effective methods designed to reduce anxiety levels, teach patients how to engage in health-promoting behaviors, give the patient greater responsibility and control over his or her health care, and improve pain control.

PHYSICIAN-RELATED ISSUES

Patients’ psychosocial difficulties may affect the physician’s attitudes and behaviors and, if unrecognized, may adversely affect the patient’s care. Physicians are uncomfortable making decisions in the face of diagnostic uncertainty,134 because the assumption is that more knowledge will make the illness more treatable. Nonetheless, many clinical treatments are undertaken for symptoms or psychosocial concerns that are not based on a specific diagnosis, particularly for patients with unexplained complaints who demand a diagnosis or for those who are thought to be litigious. Here, the physician risks overdoing the diagnostic evaluation or instituting unneeded or harmful treatments in such patients (sometimes called furor medicus).135 Alternatively, the physician may not believe that the complaints are legitimate and may then exhibit behaviors (e.g., referring the patient to a psychiatrist) that the patient will recognize as a rejection.

Awareness of the psychosocial dimensions of illness and their effects on the genesis of symptoms legitimizes the complaints and puts them into better perspective. Some patients and physicians can experience interpersonal conflicts. The patient, feeling helpless and out of control, may behave in ways interpreted by the physician as dependent and demanding; these feelings may lead to blame or stigmatization by the physician. The physician must understand that these behaviors are part of the patient’s maladaptive communication style and should not be perceived as critical. In our role as physicians, we may have expectations for rapid relief with displays of gratitude from patients. Some patients, however, develop psychosocial adaptations to chronic illness (e.g., family attention, control through the illness behaviors, disability) that delay ultimate improvement, and these patients may not acknowledge the physician’s efforts. In these situations, treatment is best refocused from efforts to cure to efforts to improve daily function, despite continued symptoms. Gratification can be obtained from the personal effort rather than from the patient’s comments of gratitude. Finally, each physician must set personal limits in time and energy toward the care of patients who are particularly challenging. Limiting the length of office visits, allocating part of the patient’s care to other health care workers and, when necessary, saying “no” to the patient are all important methods for achieving a balance...
between the physician’s personal needs and benefit to the patient.
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